

ON SINGULAR CONNECTIONS AND GEOMETRICALLY ATOMIC MAPS

VALERY MARENICH AND KAREL PACKALEN

ABSTRACT. We prove that an introduction of the class of geometrically atomic bundle maps by Harvey and Lawson in their theory of singular connections is not necessary because an arbitrary map satisfies the conditions of geometric atomicity.

1. Singular connections

Let us recall some basic facts about the Harvey-Lawson theory of singular connections, see [HL1]¹. We consider a smooth bundle map $\alpha : E \rightarrow F$ between complex vector bundles with connections D^E and D^F correspondingly over the same manifold X . We denote by $\nu = \dim(X)$, for a point x of X the fibers over x are E_x and F_x , $\alpha_x : E_x \rightarrow F_x$ is the restriction of α to these fibers, while m and n denote complex dimensions of these fibers.

For the Chern-Weil characteristic forms $\Phi(\Omega)$ of (E, D^E) and (F, D^F) and the class of "geometrically atomic" maps α the authors in [HL1] establish a canonical co-homology

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(\Omega) - \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Res}_{\Phi, k}[\Sigma_k(\alpha)] = dT,$$

where $\Sigma_k(\alpha) = \{x \in X : \dim \ker(\alpha) = k\}$, $\text{Res}_{\Phi, k}$ is a smooth residue form along $\Sigma_k(\alpha)$, and T is a canonical L^1_{loc} -form on X . When $m = n$ the last formula can be written

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(\Omega^F) - \Phi(\Omega^E) = \sum_{k > 0} \text{Res}_{\Phi, k}[\Sigma_k(\alpha)] = dT.$$

A bundle morphism $\alpha : E \rightarrow F$ can be considered as a cross-section of the vector bundle $\pi : \text{Hom}(E, F) \rightarrow X$. Denote by $\beta : \pi^*E \rightarrow \pi^*F$ a tautological morphism between bundles π^*E and π^*F over the total space of the bundle $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ (which at $A \in \text{Hom}(E, F)$ is given by itself). There is a natural compactification $\text{Hom}(E, F) \subset G$ where $\pi : G \equiv G_m(E \oplus F) \rightarrow X$ is the Grassmann bundle of complex m -planes in $E \oplus F$ while we assign to $A : E_x \rightarrow F_x$ its graph V_A in $E_x \oplus F_x$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C07, 49Q15; Secondary 58H99, 57R20. Research was supported by Vetenskapsrådet (Sweden) and the Faculty of Natural Sciences of the University of Kalmar, (Sweden).

Key words and phrases. singular connections, geometrically atomic maps.

¹See also [HL2]-[HL4], [N] and [Z]

The key object in the construction of the co-cycle T is the following **multiplicative flow** $\phi_t : E \oplus F \rightarrow E \oplus F$ defined by $\phi_t(e, f) = (te, f)$ for $0 < t < \infty$. If $P^1(R) = R \cup \{\infty\}$ is the projective line, $G^{\oplus 2}$ the fibre product of G with itself over X then

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{T} = \{(t, \phi_t(V), V) \in P^1(R) \times G^{\oplus 2} | 0 < t < \infty \text{ and } V \in G\}$$

is called the total graph of the flow. Denote by $[T]$ the current given by integration over $\mathcal{T}$ and define $T = pr_*[T]$ where $pr : P^1(R) \times G^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow G^{\oplus 2}$ is the projection.

As the authors wrote in [HL4] the main observation they had made was the following statement.

Theorem 1. (see Proposition 3.1 in [HL4]). *$\mathcal{T}$ is a sub-manifold of finite volume in $P^1(R) \times G^{\oplus 2}$ over each compact subset of X .²*

The theory of singular connections was developed for a class of so called geometrically atomic bundle maps. The bundle map $\alpha : E \rightarrow F$ is called **geometrically atomic** if the subset

$$(1.4) \quad T_\alpha = \{(\frac{1}{t}\alpha_x, \alpha_x) \in G^{\oplus 2} | x \in X, 0 < t < \infty\}$$

has locally finite $(\nu + 1)$ -dimensional measure in $G^{\oplus 2}$ (recall that $\nu = \dim(X)$).

The set T_α can be divided into two sets: the set T_α^s consisting of points where α has zeros and therefore having locally finite ν -dimensional measure; and the set T_α^r which is a line bundle over the set $X \setminus \text{Zero}(\alpha)$. This last set is an open subset of X while T_α^r is a smooth sub-manifold which fibers over $X \setminus \text{Zero}(\alpha)$ with a fiber equals the trajectory $(\frac{1}{t}\alpha_x, \alpha_x)$ of α_x under the multiplicative flow. Therefore, by Fubini theorem the sub-manifold T_α^r has locally finite $(\nu + 1)$ -dimensional measure if all these fibers $\{\phi_t(\alpha_x), 0 < t < \infty\}$ have uniformly bounded length considered as curves in Grassmann manifolds $G_x^{\oplus 2}$. In this note we prove this uniform boundedness of lengths of such curves in Grassmannians, see Theorem 3 below. This will imply our main result as follows.

Theorem 2. *All bundle maps $\alpha : E \rightarrow F$ are geometrically atomic.*

2. Linear curves of subspaces in Grassmannians

Denote $p = 2m$, $q = 2n$. Then every $G_x^{\oplus 2}$ is G_{p+q}^p - the Grassmann manifold of all p -dimensional subspaces of the $(p + q)$ -dimensional Euclidean space. We consider G_{p+q}^p with a natural Riemannian metric g such that the factorization $\Pi : O(p + q) \rightarrow O(p + q)/O(p) \times O(q) = G_{p+q}^p$, where the orthogonal group $O(p + q)$ is provided with the Lipshitz-Killing metric, is a Riemannian submersion.

We say that a curve $V(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ in G_{p+q}^p of p -subspaces is **linear** if the subspaces $V(t)$ are generated by some vectors $e_i(t), i = 1, \dots, k$ linearly depending on t , i.e., such that

$$(2.1) \quad e_i(t) = e_i + td_i.$$

²It was concluded from the real analyticity of the multiplicative flow with the help of general results from a geometric measure theory, see [F].

In our case of the trajectories of the multiplicative flow are the following linear curves:

$$V(t) = \phi_t(V_A) = \left(\frac{1}{t}\alpha_x, \alpha_x\right)$$

where the p -subspace V_A is the graph of the map $A = \alpha_x$. If $\{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ is some base of the first factor R^p of $R^{p+q} = R^p \times R^q$ (where $p = 2m, q = 2n$) then $V(1) = V_A$ and is generated by $e_i + Ae_i$, while $V(t)$ is generated by the vectors

$$(2.2) \quad e_i(t) = e_i + tAe_i.$$

Denote $d_i = Ae_i$ and call these vectors derivatives.

As we said above our main technical result is:

Theorem 3. *The lengths of all linear curves $V(t)$ in a Grassmann manifold G_{p+q}^p are uniformly bounded.*

Proof. First we verify that geodesic curvatures of linear curves are uniformly bounded. Consider the point $V(t_0)$ on the linear curve $V(t)$ and estimate its geodesic curvature at this point. We split the space R^{p+q} as $R^{p+q} = R^p \times R^q$ where the first factor R^p of $R^{p+q} = R^p \times R^q$ coincide with $V(t_0)$ and will be called the **vertical subspace** and its vectors - vertical, the second factor R^q of $R^{p+q} = R^p \times R^q$ which is orthogonal to the first one we call the **horizontal** subspace and its vectors - horizontal vectors. Vertical and horizontal components of the vector v will be denoted below as $\mathcal{V}v$ and $\mathcal{H}v$ correspondingly.

Changing t to $(t - t_0)$ if necessary in (2.2) we assume from now on that $t_0 = 0$ and denote $V(0) = V$. In return the derivatives d_i in a representation

$$(2.2) \quad V(t) = \{e_i(t) = e_i + td_i | 1 \leq i \leq p\}$$

may be no longer horizontal vectors as before. Continue the correspondence $e_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}d_i$ to the linear map $\mathcal{V}D : V \rightarrow V$ and take its polar representation $\mathcal{V}D = BU$ where $U : V \rightarrow V$ is some orthogonal map $U = (u_i^s)$ from $O(p)$, and B a diagonal in some orthonormal base.³ The pre-image of this base under transformation U we denote by $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ of V . Complete this base to some orthonormal base $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, e_{p+1}, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ of R^{p+q} and continue the linear map $D : V \rightarrow R^{p+q}$ sending e_i to d_i to the map $D : R^{p+q} \rightarrow R^{p+q}$ given by a matrix

$$(2.3) \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} B & (\mathcal{H}D) \\ -(\mathcal{H}D)^* & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we see that the linear curve $V(t)$ is the image of the subspace generated by the first p coordinate vectors of the orthonormal base $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, e_{p+1}, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ in R^{p+q} under the map with the following matrix:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I + t(\mathcal{V}D) & t(\mathcal{H}D) \\ -t(\mathcal{H}D)^* & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\mathcal{V}D = BU$ and $\mathcal{H}D$ are the matrices of vertical and horizontal components of the derivatives d_i correspondingly: $\mathcal{V}D = (d_i)^j, i = 1, \dots, p; \quad j = 1, \dots, p$ and $\mathcal{H}D = (d_i)^j, i = 1, \dots, p; \quad j = p + 1, \dots, p + q$.

³ B can be assumed to be positive, see the construction in the Lemma 3 below of the partition $\{-\infty < t_1 < \dots < t_m < \infty\}$.

Next we define another and "nearly" orthogonal transformation of R^{p+q} mapping V into $V(t)$. First we divide every vector $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq p$ by its norm after $I + tBU$, i.e., by $(1 + t\lambda_i)$. We denote this map $C(t) = \text{diag}((1 + t\lambda_1)^{-1}, \dots, (1 + t\lambda_p)^{-1})$. Its composition with the map given by (2.4)

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I + t(\mathcal{V}D) & t(\mathcal{H}D) \\ -t(\mathcal{H}D)^* & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C(t) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

also send V onto $V(t)$. By a direct calculation it is easy to see that this last map is given in the orthonormal base $\{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq p + q\}$ by the matrix

$$(2.6) \quad A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U} & t\tilde{D} \\ -t\tilde{D}^* & I \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\tilde{U}$ is some orthogonal matrix and for the components of $\tilde{D} = (\tilde{d}_i^j), 1 \leq i \leq p, p+1 \leq j \leq p+q$ it holds that

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{d}_i^j = (1 + t\lambda_i)^{-1} u_i^s d_s^j.$$

Another calculation shows that the vectors $\tilde{e}_i(t) = A(t)e_i, 1 \leq i \leq p + q$ are "nearly" orthonormal:

$$(2.8) \quad |(\tilde{e}_i(t), \tilde{e}_j(t)) - \delta_{ij}| \leq t^2 \|\tilde{D}\|^2,$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \|\tilde{D}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k; j=k+1, \dots, n} ((\tilde{d}_i^j)^2).$$

For small t it holds $(1 + t\lambda_i)^{-1} \leq 1 + t\Lambda_1$ for $\Lambda_1 = 2\max\{-\lambda_i | 1 \leq i \leq p\}$. Therefore, from (2.7) we see

$$(2.10) \quad \|\tilde{D}\|^2 \leq (1 + t\Lambda_1) \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2,$$

or

$$(2.11) \quad |(\tilde{e}_i(t), \tilde{e}_j(t)) - \delta_{ij}| \leq t^2 (1 + t\Lambda_1) \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2,$$

where

$$(2.12) \quad \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2 = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k; j=k+1, \dots, n} ((d_i^j)^2).$$

Now we apply inductively to $\{\tilde{e}_i(t), i = 1, \dots, p + q\}$ an orthogonalization process as follows. Denote $W_1(t)$ the subspace generated by all $\{\tilde{e}_i(t), i < p + q\}$ and find $\bar{e}_{p+q}(t)$ unit and normal to it. From (2.11) it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad \|\tilde{e}_{p+q}(t) - \bar{e}_{p+q}(t)\| \leq t^2 (1 + t\Lambda_1^1) \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2$$

for some Λ_1^1 depending on Λ_1 . Next find $\bar{e}_{p+q-1}(t)$ in $W_1(t)$ which is unit and normal to the subspace $W_2(t)$ generated by all $\{\tilde{e}_i(t), i < p+q-1\}$. Again from (2.11) and (2.13) we get

$$(2.14) \quad \|\tilde{e}_{p+q-1}(t) - \bar{e}_{p+q-1}(t)\| \leq t^2(1 + t\Lambda_1^2)\|\mathcal{H}D\|^2$$

for some Λ_1^2 depending on Λ_1 and Λ_1^1 . And so on. Finally we construct the orthonormal base $\{\bar{e}_i(t), i = 1, \dots, p+q\}$ of R^{p+q} such that

$$(2.15) \quad \|\tilde{e}_i(t) - \bar{e}_i(t)\| \leq (1 + t\Lambda_2)t^2\|\mathcal{H}D\|^2$$

where the constant Λ_2 again depends only on Λ_1 and the dimension $(p+q)$. By construction the first p vectors of $\{\bar{e}_i(t), i = 1, \dots, n\}$ generate $V(t)$, or $V(t)$ is the image of $V(0)$ under the orthogonal transformation with a matrix:

$$(2.16) \quad O(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U} & t(\mathcal{H}D) \\ -t(\mathcal{H}D) & I \end{pmatrix} + t^2G,$$

where for the norm of the matrix G from (2.15) it follows

$$(2.17) \quad \|G\| \leq (1 + t\Lambda_3)\|\mathcal{H}D\|^2,$$

for some constant Λ_3 depending on Λ_2 . Finally note that the curve $V(t)$ in G_{p+q}^p is the image under submersion $\Pi : O(p+q) \rightarrow G_{p+q}^p$ of the curve $O(t)$ of orthogonal transformations in $O(p+q)$ so that the vector $\dot{V}(0)$ is the image of $\dot{O}(0)$ under the differential Π_* . From (2.14) we see that the tangent vector of the curve of the orthogonal transformations $O(t)$ at the moment $t = 0$ is

$$(2.18) \quad \dot{O}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{H}D \\ -\mathcal{H}D & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By definition of the Lipschitz-Killing metric the length of this vector equals $\|\mathcal{H}D\|$. Note also that this vector is the horizontal one for the Riemannian submersion $\Pi : O(p+q) \rightarrow O(p+q)/O(p) \times O(q) = G_{p+q}^p$, so that it has the same length as its image $\dot{V}(0)$ under the differential Π_* . Therefore, the length of the vector $\dot{V}(0)$ in G_{p+q}^p equals the length of $\dot{O}(0)$ in the Lipschitz-Killing metric on $O(p+q)$, i.e., is exactly $\|\mathcal{H}D\|$; and we arrive at the following statement.

Lemma 1.

$$\|\dot{V}(0)\|^2 = \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2 = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k; j=k+1, \dots, n} ((d_i)^j)^2.$$

Lemma 2. *The geodesic curvature $K(V(t))$ of the linear curve $V(t) \subset G_{p+q}^p$ is uniformly bounded.*

Proof. To prove this we use a well-known equality between a geodesic curvature of some curve in a Riemannian manifold and a distance from this curve to the geodesic, issuing from the same initial point with the same velocity vector. It is known that the geodesic curvature $K(V(0))$ of the curve $V(t)$ and the norm of its vector of velocity are related by the following equality:

$$(2.19) \quad K(V(0)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 2 \frac{\text{dist}_{G_{p+q}^p}(V(t), \bar{V}(t))}{\|\dot{V}(0)\|^2 t^2},$$

where $\bar{V}(t)$ is the geodesic in G_{p+q}^p issuing from $V(0)$ with the same velocity vector: $\bar{V}(0) = \dot{V}(0)$. Every geodesic $\bar{V}(t)$ in the base G_{p+q}^p of the Riemannian submersion $\Pi : O(p+q) \rightarrow O(p+q)/O(p) \times O(q) = G_{p+q}^p$ is the image under the map Π of some horizontal geodesic in $O(p+q)$, i.e., some 1-parameter subgroup of orthogonal transformations of R^{p+q} . Consider the 1-parameter subgroup $\bar{O}(t)$ of $O(p+q)$ generated by the vector $\dot{O}(0)$ above:

$$\dot{O}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{H}D \\ -\mathcal{H}D & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because the vector $\dot{O}(0)$ is horizontal the geodesic $\bar{O}(t)$ in $O(p+q)$ is a horizontal geodesic and goes under submersion Π onto some geodesic in G_{p+q}^p . Because, as we verified above, the vector $\dot{O}(0)$ has the image under the differential Π_* which is equal to $\dot{V}(0)$, this geodesic has the same velocity vector at initial point $t = 0$, i.e., we conclude $\bar{V}(t) = \Pi(\bar{O}(t))$. A direct calculation shows that

$$(2.20) \quad \bar{O}(t) = \exp(t\dot{O}(0)) = I + t\dot{O}(0) + t^2\bar{G} + \dots$$

where for some constant Λ_4 we have

$$(2.21) \quad \|\bar{G}\| \leq \Lambda_4 \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2.$$

Because of (2.14) and (2.15) above this gives the inequality

$$(2.22) \quad \|\bar{O}(t) - O(t)\| \leq t^2 \Lambda_5 \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2 / 2$$

for some constant Λ_5 depending on Λ_3 and Λ_4 . Because (as every Riemannian submersion) Π does not increase distances the last formula yields an inequality

$$(2.23) \quad \|\bar{V}(t) - V(t)\| \leq t^2 \Lambda_5 \|\mathcal{H}D\|^2 / 2$$

implying the claim of the lemma. Lemma 2 is proved.

The next Lemma is the main technical point of our arguments.

Lemma 3. *Let $V(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ be some linear curve in G_{p+q}^p such that its geodesic curvature $K(V(t))$ is bounded by some constant Λ_5 for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. Then the length of $V(t)$ is bounded by some constant Λ_6 which depends on Λ_5 , but does not depend on T .*

Proof. Proof of the Lemma follows from simple compactness and monotonicity arguments as follows.

In addition to the Riemannian metric g on G_{p+q}^p we used above (coming from the Lipschitz - Killing metric on $O(p+q)$ under the submersion Π), the Grassmanian G_{p+q}^p also admits the following non-Riemannian metric $\angle$ ("angle"): for two subspaces V and W in R^{p+q} the angle between them is

$$(2.24) \quad \angle(V, W) = \sup_{v \in V} \inf_{w \in W} \angle(v, w).$$

Clearly, we always have $0 \leq \angle(V, W) \leq \pi/2$ and $\angle(V, W) = \pi/2$ if and only if some vector w of W is orthogonal to V .

Let $V(t)$ be our linear curve determined by $\{e_i, d_i; i = 1, \dots, p\}$ as above; i.e., $V(t) = (I + tA)V$ for some linear map $A : V \rightarrow R^{p+q}$. For a vector $e(0)$ of $V(0)$ we have $(e(0), e(t)) = 0$ for $e(t) = (I + tA)e(0)$ from $V(t)$ if and only if

$$(2.25) \quad (e(0), (I + tA)e(0)) = 0,$$

Note that there exists only a finite number of moments t_i such that (2.25) holds for some non-zero $e(0)$ of $V(0)$. Indeed, if we denote by $B : R^{p+q} \rightarrow V(0)$ the orthogonal projection onto $V(0)$ then such vectors belong to the kernel of the operator $B(I + tA) : V(0) \rightarrow V(0)$ which is non-zero if and only if the determinant function $P(t) = \det(B(I + tA))$ vanishes. Because this determinant function is a polynomial of degree p and is not identically zero (note that $P(0) = 1$) it has at most p zeros $t_i, 1 \leq i \leq m \leq p$.

For a partition $-\infty < t_1 < \dots < t_m < \infty$ it is not difficult to see that for arbitrary $t_i < t' < t'' < t_{i+1}$ we have

$$(2.26) \quad (e(t'), e(t'')) > 0.$$

because otherwise (as is easy to check) for some t^* from the interval (t', t'') it would follow $(e(0), e(t^*)) = 0$.

Condition (2.26) implies that the angle between some fixed vector $e(t')$ and $e(t'')$ is a monotonely increasing function on t'' when $t' < t''$ are from (t_i, t_{i+1}) . The same condition implies, that the angle between $e(t'')$ and $V(t')$ is also a monotonely increasing function on t'' under the same restriction. Indeed, by definition for all t we have $e(t) = e(0) + td$ for some $e(0)$ from $V(0)$. Let $d = v + w$, where v belongs to the subspace $V(t')$, and w is normal to it. Let also $e(t') = a + b$, where the vector $a = \lambda v$ is parallel to v , and b is normal to v . Then $e(t'') = b + (\lambda + (t'' - t'))v + (t'' - t')w$, and (2.26) means that

$$(2.27) \quad (e(t'), e(t'')) + (t'' - t')(e(t'), v) > 0.$$

The component of $e(t'')$ normal to $V(t')$ equals $(t'' - t')w$ while the tangent component of $e(t'')$ to $V(t')$ is $e(t') + (t'' - t')v$. So for the angle $\phi(t'')$ between $e(t'')$ and the subspace $V(t')$ we have

$$tg(\phi(t'')) = \frac{\sqrt{(w, w)}(t'' - t')}{\sqrt{(e(t') + (t'' - t')v, e(t') + (t'' - t')v)}},$$

and by a direct calculation we see, that due to (2.27) the derivative of $\phi(t'')$ is positive. Because this is true for an arbitrary $e(t'')$ we conclude that the angle between $V(t'')$ and $V(t')$ is also a monotonely increasing function on t'' when $t' < t''$ are from (t_i, t_{i+1}) . To prove this it is sufficient to note that the derivative of the angle between $V(t')$ and $V(t'')$ on t'' equals the maximum of derivatives of angles between $V(t')$ and those vectors $e(t'')$ of $V(t'')$ which have a maximum angle with $V(t')$.

Thus, every linear curve $V(t)$ we can divide into not more than $p + 1$ intervals $\{V(t) | t_i < t < t_{i+1}\}$ such that the "angle"-function $\phi_s(t) = \angle(V(s), V(s+t))$ is monotonely increasing for $0 < t < t_{i+1} - s$ and monotonely decreasing for $t_i - s < t < 0$. The claim of the Lemma will follow if we prove it for every such interval. In order to do this we note that this monotonicity of the angle means that the curve $\{V(t) | t_i < t < t_{i+1}\}$, leaving at some moment the ϵ -neighborhood of $V(s)$ in the metric $\angle$ never comes back, or that every ball in $\angle$ -metric with the center $V(s)$ contains only one connected arc of the considered interval of the curve $V(t)$. Denote by $U(V, \epsilon)$ an ϵ -neighborhood in the metric $\angle$ of the point V of G_{p+q}^p .

For a given number Λ_5 there exists some ω depending on Λ_5 such that in every ω -ball in the Riemannian metric g the length of every connected arc of an arbitrary curve with geodesic curvature bounded by Λ_5 is bounded by some constant L , which depends on ω and Λ_5 and has order 2ω as $\omega \rightarrow 0$.

Because topologies generated by two metrics $\angle$ and g coincide, there exists a function $\omega(\epsilon)$ (where $\omega(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$) such that every ϵ -ball in the metric $\angle$ is contained in $\omega(\epsilon)$ -ball in the metric g . Find some ϵ such that $\omega(\epsilon) \leq \omega$. Using compactness of G_{p+q}^p find some finite covering $G_{p+q}^p = \cup_i U(V_i, \epsilon/2)$. If some point $V(t')$ belongs to some $U(V_i, \epsilon/2)$, then because of the triangle inequality the intersection of the considered interval $V(t), t_i < t < t_{i+1}$ with this $U(V_i, \epsilon/2)$ lies in $U(V(t'), \epsilon)$, and by the arguments above has length less than L , which obviously means that the length of the whole interval is bounded by LN , where N is the number of all $U(V_i, \epsilon/2)$ in the finite covering of G_{p+q}^p . As was said above, the number of intervals $V(t), t_i < t < t_{i+1}$ with described monotone behavior of the "angle"-function $\phi_s(t)$ is not bigger than $(p + 1)$, so that the length of $V(t), -\infty < t < \infty$ is bounded by nLN , i.e.,

$$(2.28) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\dot{V}(t)\| dt < \Lambda_6$$

for some constant Λ_6 depending only on Λ_5 . Lemma 3 and hence, Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 consequently are proved.

References

- [F] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer Verlag, New-York, 1969.
- [HL1] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *A theory of Characteristic Currents Associated with a Singular Connection*, Astérisque **213** (1993), Soc. Math. de France, Montrouge, France.
- [HL2] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Geometric residue theorems*, Amer. J. Math. **117:4** (1995), 829–874.
- [HL3] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Finite volume flows and Morse theory*, Ann. of Math. **153** (2001), 1–25.
- [HL4] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Singularities and Chern-Weil theory, I. The local MacPherson formula*, Asian J. Math. **4:1** (2000), 71–96.
- [HS] R. Harvey and S. Semmes, *Zero Divisors of Atomic Functions*, Ann. of Math. **135** (1992), 567–600.
- [N] S. Nair, *Geometric Residue Theorems for Bundle Maps*, Commun. in Anal. and Geom. **7:3** (1999), 583–608.
- [Z] J. Zweck, *Chern Currents of Singular Connections Associated with a Section of a Compactified Bundle*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44:2** (1995), 341–384.

DEPARTMENT OF TECHNOLOGY, THE UNIVERSITY OF KALMAR, 905, S-391 82, KALMAR, SWEDEN.

E-mail address: `valery.marenich@hik.se`, `karel.packalen@hik.se`